



argusmedia.com

ARGUS AGRIMARKETS ORGANIC AND NON-GMO

Contents:

Methodology overview	2
Market survey	5
Confidentiality	5
Terms	5
Identity preservation	5
Spot timing	5
Weekly feed-grade assessments	5
Weekly regional averages	6
Monthly food-grade assessments	6

LAST UPDATED: APRIL 2025

The most up-to-date Argus AgriMarkets Organic and non-GMO methodology is available on www.argusmedia.com

Methodology overview

Methodology rationale

Argus strives to construct methodologies that reflect the way the market trades. Argus aims to produce price assessments which are reliable and representative indicators of commodity market values and are free from distortion. As a result, the specific currencies, volume units, locations and other particulars of an assessment are determined by industry conventions.

In the organic and non-GMO agricultural markets, Argus publishes physical market prices in the open market as laid out in the specifications and methodology guide. Argus uses the trading period deemed by Argus to be most appropriate, in consultation with industry, to capture market liquidity.

In order to be included in the assessment process, deals must meet the minimum volume, delivery, timing and specification requirements in our methodology. In illiquid markets, and in other cases where deemed appropriate, Argus assesses the range within which product could have traded by applying a strict process outlined later in this methodology.

Survey process

Argus price assessments are informed by information received from a wide cross section of market participants, including producers, consumers and intermediaries. Argus reporters engage with the industry by proactively polling participants for market data. Argus will contact and accept market data from all credible market sources including front and back office of market participants and brokers. Argus will also receive market data from electronic trading platforms and directly from the back offices of market participants. Argus will accept market data by telephone, instant messenger, email or other means.

Argus encourages all sources of market data to submit all market data to which they are a party that falls within the Argus stated methodological criteria for the relevant assessment. Argus encourages all sources of market data to submit transaction data from back office functions.

Throughout all markets, Argus is constantly seeking to increase the number of companies willing to provide market data. Reporters are mentored and held accountable for expanding their pool of contacts. The number of entities providing market data can vary significantly from day to day based on market conditions.

For certain price assessments identified by local management, if more than 50pc of the market data involved in arriving at a price assessment is sourced from a single party the supervising editor will engage in an analysis of the market data with the primary reporter to ensure that the quality and integrity of the assessment has not been affected.

Market data usage

In each market, Argus uses the methodological approach deemed to be the most reliable and representative for that market. Argus will utilise various types of market data in its methodologies, to include:

- Transactions
- Bids and offers
- Other market information, to include spread values between grades, locations, timings, and many other data.

In many markets, the relevant methodology will assign a relatively higher importance to transactions over bids and offers, and a relatively higher importance to bids and offers over other market information. Certain markets however will exist for which such a hierarchy would produce unreliable and non-representative price assessments, and so the methodology must assign a different relative importance in order to ensure the quality and integrity of the price assessment. And even in markets for which the hierarchy normally applies, certain market situations will at times emerge for which the strict hierarchy would produce non-representative prices, requiring Argus to adapt in order to publish representative prices.

Verification of transaction data

Reporters carefully analyse all data submitted to the price assessment process. These data include transactions, bids, offers, volumes, counterparties, specifications and any other information that contributes materially to the determination of price. This high level of care described applies regardless of the methodology employed. Specific to transactions, bids, and offers, reporters seek to verify the price, the volume, the specifications, location basis, and counterparty. In some transactional average methodologies, reporters also examine the full array of transactions to match counterparties and arrive at a list of unique transactions. In some transactional average methodologies, full details of the transactions verified are published electronically and are accessible by subscribers. The deals are also published in the daily report.

Several tests are applied by reporters in all markets to transactional data to determine if it should be subjected to further scrutiny. If a transaction has been identified as failing such a test, it will receive further scrutiny. For assessments used to settle derivatives and for many other assessments, Argus has established internal procedures that involve escalation of inquiry within the source's company and escalating review within Argus management. Should this process determine that a transaction should be excluded from the price assessment process, the supervising editor will initiate approval and, if necessary, documentation procedures.

Primary tests applied by reporters

- Transactions not transacted at arm's length, including deals between related parties or affiliates.
- Transaction prices that deviate significantly from the mean of all transactions submitted for that day.
- Transaction prices that fall outside of the generally observed lows and highs that operated throughout the trading day.
- Transactions that are suspected to be a leg of another transaction or in some way contingent on an unknown transaction.
- Single deal volumes that significantly exceed the typical transaction volume for that market.
- Transaction details that are identified by other market participants as being for any reason potentially anomalous and perceived by Argus to be as such.

- Transaction details that are reported by one counterparty differently than the other counterparty.
- Any transaction details that appear to the reporter to be illogical or to stray from the norms of trading behaviour. This could include but is not limited to divergent specifications, unusual delivery location and counterparties not typically seen.
- Transactions that involve the same counterparties, the same price and delivery dates are checked to see that they are separate deals and not one deal duplicated in Argus records.

Secondary tests applied by editors for transactions identified for further scrutiny

Transaction tests

- The impact of linkage of the deal to possible other transactions such as contingent legs, exchanges, options, swaps, or other derivative instruments. This will include a review of transactions in markets that the reporter may not be covering.
- The nature of disagreement between counterparties on transactional details.
- The possibility that a deal is directly linked to an offsetting transaction that is not publicly known, for example a “wash trade” which has the purpose of influencing the published price.
- The impact of non-market factors on price or volume, including distressed delivery, credit issues, scheduling issues, demurrage, or containment.

Source tests

- The credibility of the explanation provided for the outlying nature of the transaction.
- The track record of the source. Sources will be deemed more credible if they
 - Regularly provide transaction data with few errors.
 - Provide data by Argus’ established deadline.
 - Quickly respond to queries from Argus reporters.
 - Have staff designated to respond to such queries.
- How close the information receipt is to the deadline for information, and the impact of that proximity on the validation process.

Assessment guidelines

When insufficient, inadequate, or no transaction information exists, or when Argus concludes that a transaction based methodology will not produce representative prices, Argus reporters will make an assessment of market value by applying intelligent judgement based on a broad array of factual market information. Reporters must use a high degree of care in gathering and validating all market data used in determining price assessments, a degree of care equal to that applying to gathering and validating transactions. The information used to form an assessment could include deals done, bids, offers, tenders, spread trades, exchange trades, fundamental supply and demand information and other inputs.

The assessment process employing judgement is rigorous, replicable, and uses widely accepted valuation metrics. These valuation

metrics mirror the process used by physical commodity traders to internally assess value prior to entering the market with a bid or offer. Applying these valuation metrics along with sound judgement significantly narrows the band within which a commodity can be assessed, and greatly increases the accuracy and consistency of the price series. The application of judgement is conducted jointly with the supervising editor, in order to be sure that guidelines below are being followed. Valuation metrics include the following:

Relative value transactions

Frequently transactions occur which instead of being an outright purchase or sale of a single commodity, are instead exchanges of commodities. Such transactions allow reporters to value less liquid markets against more liquid ones and establish a strong basis for the exercise of judgment.

- Exchange one commodity for a different commodity in the same market at a negotiated value.
- Exchange delivery dates for the same commodity at a negotiated value.
- Exchange a commodity in one location for the same commodity at another location at a negotiated value.

Bids and offers

If a sufficient number of bids and offers populate the market, then in most cases the highest bid and the lowest offer can be assumed to define the boundaries between which a deal could be transacted.

Comparative metrics

- The relative values between compared commodities are readily discussed in the market and can be discovered through dialogue with market participants. These discussions are the precursor to negotiation and conclusion of transactions.
- Comparison to the same commodity in another market centre.
- Comparison to a more actively traded but slightly different specification commodity in the same market centre.
- Comparison to the same commodity traded for a different delivery timing.
- Comparison to the commodity’s primary feedstock or primary derived product(s).
- Comparison to trade in the same commodity but in a different modality (as in barge versus oceangoing vessel) or in a different total volume (as in full cargo load versus partial cargo load).

Volume minimums and transaction data thresholds

Argus typically does not establish thresholds strictly on the basis of a count of transactions, as this could lead to unreliable and non-representative assessments and because of the varying transportation infrastructure found in all commodity markets. Instead, minimum volumes are typically established which may apply to each transaction accepted, to the aggregate of transactions, to transactions which set a low or high assessment or to other volumetrically relevant parameters.

For price assessments used to settle derivatives, Argus will seek to establish minimum transaction data thresholds and when no such threshold can be established Argus will explain the reasons. These

thresholds will often reflect the minimum volumes necessary to produce a transaction-based methodology, but may also establish minimum deal parameters for use by a methodology that is based primarily on judgement.

Should no transaction threshold exist, or should submitted data fall below this methodology's stated transaction data threshold for any reason, Argus will follow the procedures outlined elsewhere in this document regarding the exercise of judgement in the price assessment process.

Transparency

Argus values transparency in energy markets. As a result, where available, we publish lists of deals in our reports that include price, basis, counterparty and volume information. The deal tables allow subscribers to cross check and verify the deals against the prices. Argus feels transparency and openness is vital to developing confidence in the price assessment process.

Swaps and forwards markets

Argus publishes forward assessments for numerous markets. These include forward market contracts that can allow physical delivery and swaps contracts that swap a fixed price for the average of a floating published price. Argus looks at forward swaps to inform physical assessments but places primary emphasis on the physical markets.

Publications and price data

Argus organic and non-GMO agricultural market prices are published in the Argus AgriMarkets Organic and non-GMO report. Subsets of these prices appear in other Argus market reports and newsletters in various forms. The price data are available independent of the text-based report in electronic files that can feed into various databases. These price data are also supplied through various third-party data integrators. The Argus website also provides access to prices, reports and news with various web-based tools. All Argus prices are kept in a historical database and available for purchase. Contact your local Argus office for information.

A publication schedule is available at www.argusmedia.com

Corrections to assessments

Argus will on occasion publish corrections to price assessments after the publication date. We will correct errors that arise from clerical mistakes, calculation errors, or a misapplication of our stated methodology. Argus will not retroactively assess markets based on new information learned after the assessments are published. We make our best effort to assess markets based on the information we gather during the trading day assessed.

Ethics and compliance

Argus operates according to the best practices in the publishing field, and maintains thorough compliance procedures throughout the firm. We want to be seen as a preferred provider by our subscribers, who are held to equally high standards, while at the same time maintaining our editorial integrity and independence. Argus has a strict ethics policy that applies to all staff. The policy can be

found on our website at www.argusmedia.com. Included in this policy are restrictions against staff trading in any energy commodity or energy related stocks, and guidelines for accepting gifts. Argus also has strict policies regarding central archiving of email and instant messenger communication, maintenance and archiving of notes, and archiving of spreadsheets and deal lists used in the price assessment process. Argus publishes prices that report and reflect prevailing levels for open-market arms length transactions (please see the [Argus Global Compliance Policy](#) for a detailed definition of arms length).

Consistency in the assessment process

Argus recognises the need to have judgement consistently applied by reporters covering separate markets, and by reporters replacing existing reporters in the assessment process. In order to ensure this consistency, Argus has developed a programme of training and oversight of reporters. This programme includes:

- A global price reporting manual describing among other things the guidelines for the exercise of judgement
- Cross-training of staff between markets to ensure proper holiday and sick leave backup. Editors that float between markets to monitor staff application of best practices
- Experienced editors overseeing reporting teams are involved in daily mentoring and assisting in the application of judgement for illiquid markets
- Editors are required to sign-off on all price assessments each day, thus ensuring the consistent application of judgement.

Review of methodology

The overriding objective of any methodology is to produce price assessments which are reliable and representative indicators of commodity market values and are free from distortion. As a result, Argus editors and reporters are regularly examining our methodologies and are in regular dialogue with the industry in order to ensure that the methodologies are representative of the market being assessed. This process is integral with reporting on a given market. In addition to this ongoing review of methodology, Argus conducts reviews of all of its methodologies and methodology documents on at least an annual basis.

Argus market report editors and management will periodically and as merited initiate reviews of market coverage based on a qualitative analysis that includes measurements of liquidity, visibility of market data, consistency of market data, quality of market data and industry usage of the assessments. Report editors will review:

- Appropriateness of the methodology of existing assessments
- Termination of existing assessments
- Initiation of new assessments.

The report editor will initiate an informal process to examine viability. This process includes:

- Informal discussions with market participants
- Informal discussions with other stakeholders
- Internal review of market data

Should changes, terminations, or initiations be merited, the report editor will submit an internal proposal to management for review and approval. Should changes or terminations of existing assessments be approved, then formal procedures for external consultation are begun.

Changes to methodology

Formal proposals to change methodologies typically emerge out of the ongoing process of internal and external review of the methodologies. Formal procedures for external consultation regarding material changes to existing methodologies will be initiated with an announcement of the proposed change published in the relevant Argus report. This announcement will include:

- Details on the proposed change and the rationale
- Method for submitting comments with a deadline for submissions
- For prices used in derivatives, notice that all formal comments will be published after the given consultation period unless submitter requests confidentiality.

Argus will provide sufficient opportunity for stakeholders to analyse and comment on changes, but will not allow the time needed to follow these procedures to create a situation wherein unrepresentative or false prices are published, markets are disrupted, or market participants are put at unnecessary risk. Argus will engage with industry throughout this process in order to gain acceptance of proposed changes to methodology. Argus cannot however guarantee universal acceptance and will act for the good order of the market and ensure the continued integrity of its price assessments as an overriding objective.

Following the consultation period, Argus management will commence an internal review and decide on the methodology change. This will be followed by an announcement of the decision, which will be published in the relevant Argus report and include a date for implementation. For prices used in derivatives, publication of stakeholders' formal comments that are not subject to confidentiality and Argus' response to those comments will also take place.

Market survey

Argus surveys a wide cross section of market participants including producers, brokers, first handlers and end users across the US and Canada.

Confidentiality

Argus does not disclose the names of market sources. Market data is stored securely. More information on data and data security policies can be found [here](#).

Terms

Free on board (fob) /ex-warehouse: the price of the commodity at a pre-arranged pick-up location agreed by the buyer and the seller. Costs and risks of transportation are assumed by the buyer and are not included in the fob price.

Delivered: the price of the commodity at a pre-arranged delivery location with title passing to the buyer at the time of transfer. The delivered price includes the cost of transportation and insurance.

Identity preservation

Argus reports prices for identity preserved (IP) commodities. To be considered for inclusion in an assessment, trade must be for product certified IP according to industry standards and/or government regulations.

Spot timing

Spot contracts are for loading or delivery within 30 days of the date on which the contract is agreed.

For the purposes of assessment, contracts with a delivery window are assumed to deliver on the middle date of that window. For example, a contract for delivery between 1 October and 31 December will be assumed to deliver on 15 November.

Weekly feed-grade assessments

Argus publishes weekly state-level average delivered first-handler spot feed-grade grain prices. Prices are the average price for the specified product, location and timing.

Assessments are based on contracts that have been agreed in the last 60 days and have been reported to Argus in the seven days up to 9:30pm UTC on Saturday.

Argus encourages market participants to report contracts as soon as possible after they have been agreed and weights assessments towards more recent market information. Market information is subjected to a de-duplication process to prevent over-counting a trade reported by the buyer, seller and broker.

State and regional prices are published separately for feed-grade organic corn and feed-grade organic soybeans for the following states:

- Illinois (soybeans, corn)
- Indiana (corn)
- Iowa (soybeans, corn)
- Nebraska (corn)
- New York (corn)
- Wisconsin (soybeans, corn)

Calculation

Argus bases its assessments on the evolving relationship between the volume-weighted average of contract prices in neighbouring states, adjusted for recent price movements within each state.

This approach results in reliable assessments driven by underlying market conditions while reducing the risk that illiquid markets cause unnecessarily volatile or otherwise unrepresentative published prices.

Argus looks back over the last four marketing years when evaluating the relationships between state markets but assigns the greatest weight to more recent market information.

Volume weighted averages

No trade is allowed to account for more than 50pc of the volume in any volume weighted average.

For example, if a trade for 100t and a trade for 25t is reported for a given product, location and week, the volume of the 100t trade will be adjusted down to 25t to prevent it from accounting for more than half of the average that week. If only one relevant trade is reported, that trade is the entirety of the volume-weighted average for the week.

Specifications

Argus publishes weekly prices for the following commodities

Organic feed-grade yellow corn

USDA National Organic Program (NOP) or NOP-equivalent certified, grades generally accepted as USDA U.S. No. 2 Corn ($\leq 54\text{lb TW}$, $\leq 0.2\%$ Heat, $\leq 5\%$ Damage, $\leq 3\%$ FM)

Reported in bushels, kilograms, metric tons, short tons, and pounds

Organic feed-grade soybeans

USDA National Organic Program (NOP) or NOP-equivalent certified, grades generally accepted as USDA U.S. No. 2 Soybeans ($\leq 0.5\%$ Heat, $\leq 3\%$ Damage, $\leq 2\%$ FM, $\leq 20\%$ Splits, $\leq 2\%$ of other color, $\leq 10\%$ other material)

Reported in bushels, kilograms, metric tons, short tons, and pounds

Weekly regional averages

Regional averages are calculated each week as the simple average of state prices for each product. Note, averages include states for which Argus does not publish individual price assessments.

Regional average prices

	US total	Corn Belt	East Coast
Illinois	x	x	
Indiana	x	x	
Iowa	x	x	
Kansas	x		
Michigan	x	x	
Minnesota	x	x	
Nebraska	x		
New York	x		x
Ohio	x	x	
Pennsylvania	x		x
Virginia	x		x
Wisconsin	x	x	

Monthly food-grade assessments

Argus publishes monthly state-level spot food-grade grain prices.

Prices are the midpoint of the best (highest) bid and best (lowest) offer during the week before publication

Price assessments are published on the first Monday of the calendar month based on information gathered during the previous working week.

If the first Monday of the month is a holiday, the price assessment is published on the next working day.

For example monthly prices for Monday, 1 January 2029 would be published on Tuesday, 2 January based on market information gathered 26-29 December.

A publication schedule is available at www.argusmedia.com

Prices are published for:

- durum wheat 12pc protein fob Montana-Saskatchewan
- wheat (HRS) 13pc protein fob Montana
- wheat (HRS) 13pc protein fob Saskatchewan
- wheat (HRW) 12pc protein fob US central high plains
- wheat (HRW) 12pc protein fob US northern high plains
- wheat (SRW) 9pc protein fob US corn belt
- wheat (SW) 9pc protein fob Saskatchewan
- soybeans 40-42pc protein delivered US corn belt
- soybeans minimum 42pc protein delivered US corn belt
- white corn (feed grade) delivered US corn belt
- yellow corn (food grade) delivered US corn belt

Specifications

Argus publishes monthly prices for the following commodities

Organic milling-grade wheat

All classes and subclasses, fob farm
 USDA National Organic Program (NOP) or NOP-equivalent certified, grades generally accepted as USDA U.S. No. 1 Wheat

Organic food-grade corn

All varieties, delivered grain elevator, terminal, or processor
 USDA National Organic Program (NOP) or NOP-equivalent certified, grades generally accepted as USDA U.S. No. 1 Corn

Organic food-grade soybeans

All protein levels, delivered grain elevator, grain mill or terminal
 USDA National Organic Program (NOP) or NOP-equivalent certified, grades generally accepted as USDA U.S. No. 1 Soybeans, non-variety specific. Single variety, general food use, such as soy milk, soy sauce and tofu.

Regional definitions

Regional assessments include market information for several states as shown below

Regional definitions				
	Corn belt (corn and soybeans)	Corn belt (wheat)	Northern high plains (wheat)	Central high plains (wheat)
Colorado				x
Illinois	x	x		
Indiana	x	x		
Iowa	x	x		
Kansas				x
Michigan	x	x		
Minnesota	x	x		
Montana			x	
Nebraska	x			x
North Dakota			x	
Ohio	x	x		
South Dakota			x	
Wisconsin	x	x		
Wyoming			x	

Weekly organic soybean meal price assessments

Argus publishes weekly spot price assessment for organic soybean meal. Prices are assessed and published each Friday, or the previous working day, if Friday is a US holiday, based on bids, offers and trades in the market during the week before publication.

Assessments are published in both US dollars per short ton (\$/st), and US dollars per metric tonne (\$/t).

Specification: NOP certified organic soybean meal with a standard 46pc protein. Maximum 9.5pc moisture, 9.5pc fat, 8pc crude fiber.

Assessment locations:

- Ex-warehouse, Stockton, California
- Ex-warehouse, Baltimore, Maryland
- Delivered, Pennsylvania feed mill or production facility