



argusmedia.com

ARGUS PIPE LOGIX OCTG

Contents:

Methodology overview	2
Argus Pipe Logix OCTG	5
Distributor survey	5
Assessments	5
Currency, units and rounding	6
OCTG Market Distributor's Index	6
Related markets	6
Basin indexes	6

LAST UPDATED: SEPTEMBER 2026

The most up-to-date *Argus Pipe Logix OCTG* methodology is available on www.argusmedia.com

Copyright © 2026 Argus Media group

Trademarks Notice: For further information about Argus' trademarks, [click here](#)

Methodology overview

Methodology rationale

Argus strives to construct methodologies that reflect the way the market trades. Argus aims to produce price assessments which are reliable and representative indicators of commodity market values and are free from distortion. As a result, the specific currencies, volume units, locations and other particulars of an assessment are determined by industry conventions.

In the markets for oil country tubular goods (OCTG), Argus publishes physical market prices in the open market as laid out in the specifications and methodology guide. Argus uses the trading period deemed by Argus to be most appropriate, in consultation with industry, to capture market liquidity.

In order to be included in the assessment process, deals must meet the minimum volume, delivery, timing and specification requirements in our methodology. In illiquid markets, and in other cases where deemed appropriate, Argus assesses the range within which product could have traded by applying a strict process outlined later in this methodology.

Survey process

Argus price assessments are informed by information received from a wide cross section of market participants, including producers, consumers and intermediaries. Argus reporters engage with the industry by proactively polling participants for market data. Argus will contact and accept market data from all credible market sources including front and back office of market participants and brokers. Argus will also receive market data from electronic trading platforms and directly from the back offices of market participants. Argus will accept market data by telephone, instant messenger, email or other means.

Argus encourages all sources of market data to submit all market data to which they are a party that falls within the Argus stated methodological criteria for the relevant assessment. Argus encourages all sources of market data to submit transaction data from back office functions.

Throughout all markets, Argus is constantly seeking to increase the number of companies willing to provide market data. Reporters are mentored and held accountable for expanding their pool of contacts. The number of entities providing market data can vary significantly from day to day based on market conditions.

For certain price assessments identified by local management, if more than 50pc of the market data involved in arriving at a price assessment is sourced from a single party the supervising editor will engage in an analysis of the market data with the primary reporter to ensure that the quality and integrity of the assessment has not been affected.

Market data usage

In each market, Argus uses the methodological approach deemed to be the most reliable and representative for that market. Argus will utilise various types of market data in its methodologies, to include:

- Transactions
- Bids and offers
- Other market information, to include spread values between grades, locations, timings, and many other data.

In many markets, the relevant methodology will assign a relatively higher importance to transactions over bids and offers, and a relatively higher importance to bids and offers over other market information. Certain markets however will exist for which such a hierarchy would produce unreliable and non-representative price assessments, and so the methodology must assign a different relative importance in order to ensure the quality and integrity of the price assessment. And even in markets for which the hierarchy normally applies, certain market situations will at times emerge for which the strict hierarchy would produce non-representative prices, requiring Argus to adapt in order to publish representative prices.

Verification of transaction data

Reporters carefully analyse all data submitted to the price assessment process. These data include transactions, bids, offers, volumes, counterparties, specifications and any other information that contributes materially to the determination of price. This high level of care described applies regardless of the methodology employed. Specific to transactions, bids, and offers, reporters seek to verify the price, the volume, the specifications, location basis, and counterparty. In some transactional average methodologies, reporters also examine the full array of transactions to match counterparties and arrive at a list of unique transactions. In some transactional average methodologies, full details of the transactions verified are published electronically and are accessible by subscribers. The deals are also published in the daily report.

Several tests are applied by reporters in all markets to transactional data to determine if it should be subjected to further scrutiny. If a transaction has been identified as failing such a test, it will receive further scrutiny. For assessments used to settle derivatives and for many other assessments, Argus has established internal procedures that involve escalation of inquiry within the source's company and escalating review within Argus management. Should this process determine that a transaction should be excluded from the price assessment process, the supervising editor will initiate approval and, if necessary, documentation procedures.

Primary tests applied by reporters

- Transactions not transacted at arm's length, including deals between related parties or affiliates.
- Transaction prices that deviate significantly from the mean of all transactions submitted for that day.
- Transaction prices that fall outside of the generally observed lows and highs that operated throughout the trading day.
- Transactions that are suspected to be a leg of another transaction or in some way contingent on an unknown transaction.
- Single deal volumes that significantly exceed the typical transaction volume for that market.
- Transaction details that are identified by other market participants as being for any reason potentially anomalous and

perceived by Argus to be as such.

- Transaction details that are reported by one counterparty differently than the other counterparty.
- Any transaction details that appear to the reporter to be illogical or to stray from the norms of trading behaviour. This could include but is not limited to divergent specifications, unusual delivery location and counterparties not typically seen.
- Transactions that involve the same counterparties, the same price and delivery dates are checked to see that they are separate deals and not one deal duplicated in Argus records.

Secondary tests applied by editors for transactions identified for further scrutiny

Transaction tests

- The impact of linkage of the deal to possible other transactions such as contingent legs, exchanges, options, swaps, or other derivative instruments. This will include a review of transactions in markets that the reporter may not be covering.
- The nature of disagreement between counterparties on transactional details.
- The possibility that a deal is directly linked to an offsetting transaction that is not publicly known, for example a "wash trade" which has the purpose of influencing the published price.
- The impact of non-market factors on price or volume, including distressed delivery, credit issues, scheduling issues, demurrage, or containment.

Source tests

- The credibility of the explanation provided for the outlying nature of the transaction.
- The track record of the source. Sources will be deemed more credible if they
 - Regularly provide transaction data with few errors.
 - Provide data by Argus' established deadline.
 - Quickly respond to queries from Argus reporters.
 - Have staff designated to respond to such queries.
- How close the information receipt is to the deadline for information, and the impact of that proximity on the validation process.

Assessment guidelines

When insufficient, inadequate, or no transaction information exists, or when Argus concludes that a transaction based methodology will not produce representative prices, Argus reporters will make an assessment of market value by applying intelligent judgement based on a broad array of factual market information. Reporters must use a high degree of care in gathering and validating all market data used in determining price assessments, a degree of care equal to that applying to gathering and validating transactions. The information used to form an assessment could include deals done, bids, offers, tenders, spread trades, exchange trades, fundamental supply and demand information and other inputs.

The assessment process employing judgement is rigorous, replicable, and uses widely accepted valuation metrics. These valuation metrics mirror the process used by physical commodity traders to internally assess value prior to entering the market with a bid or offer. Applying these valuation metrics along with sound judgement significantly narrows the band within which a commodity can be assessed, and greatly increases the accuracy and consistency of the price series. The application of judgement is conducted jointly with the supervising editor, in order to be sure that guidelines below are being followed. Valuation metrics include the following:

Relative value transactions

Frequently transactions occur which instead of being an outright purchase or sale of a single commodity, are instead exchanges of commodities. Such transactions allow reporters to value less liquid markets against more liquid ones and establish a strong basis for the exercise of judgment.

- Exchange one commodity for a different commodity in the same market at a negotiated value.
- Exchange delivery dates for the same commodity at a negotiated value.
- Exchange a commodity in one location for the same commodity at another location at a negotiated value.

Bids and offers

If a sufficient number of bids and offers populate the market, then in most cases the highest bid and the lowest offer can be assumed to define the boundaries between which a deal could be transacted.

Comparative metrics

- The relative values between compared commodities are readily discussed in the market and can be discovered through dialogue with market participants. These discussions are the precursor to negotiation and conclusion of transactions.
- Comparison to the same commodity in another market centre.
- Comparison to a more actively traded but slightly different specification commodity in the same market centre.
- Comparison to the same commodity traded for a different delivery timing.
- Comparison to the commodity's primary feedstock or primary derived product(s).
- Comparison to trade in the same commodity but in a different modality (as in barge versus oceangoing vessel) or in a different total volume (as in full cargo load versus partial cargo load).

Volume minimums and transaction data thresholds

Argus typically does not establish thresholds strictly on the basis of a count of transactions, as this could lead to unreliable and non-representative assessments and because of the varying transportation infrastructure found in all commodity markets. Instead, minimum volumes are typically established which may apply to each transaction accepted, to the aggregate of transactions, to transactions which set a low or high assessment or to other volumetrically relevant parameters.

For price assessments used to settle derivatives, Argus will seek to establish minimum transaction data thresholds and when no such threshold can be established Argus will explain the reasons. These thresholds will often reflect the minimum volumes necessary to produce a transaction-based methodology, but may also establish minimum deal parameters for use by a methodology that is based primarily on judgement.

Should no transaction threshold exist, or should submitted data fall below this methodology's stated transaction data threshold for any reason, Argus will follow the procedures outlined elsewhere in this document regarding the exercise of judgement in the price assessment process.

Transparency

Argus values transparency in steel pipe markets. As a result, where available, we publish lists of deals in our reports that include price, basis, counterparty and volume information. The deal tables allow subscribers to cross check and verify the deals against the prices. Argus feels transparency and openness is vital to developing confidence in the price assessment process.

Swaps and forwards markets

Argus publishes forward assessments for numerous markets. These include forward market contracts that can allow physical delivery and swaps contracts that swap a fixed price for the average of a floating published price. Argus looks at forward swaps to inform physical assessments but places primary emphasis on the physical markets.

Publications and price data

Argus OCTG prices are published in the Argus Pipe Logix OCTG report. Subsets of these prices appear in other Argus market reports and newsletters in various forms. The price data are available independent of the text-based report in electronic files that can feed into various databases. These price data are also supplied through various third-party data integrators. The Argus website also provides access to prices, reports and news with various web-based tools. All Argus prices are kept in a historical database and available for purchase. Contact your local Argus office for information.

A publication schedule is available at www.argusmedia.com

Corrections to assessments

Argus will on occasion publish corrections to price assessments after the publication date. We will correct errors that arise from clerical mistakes, calculation errors, or a misapplication of our stated methodology. Argus will not retroactively assess markets based on new information learned after the assessments are published. We make our best effort to assess markets based on the information we gather during the trading period assessed.

Ethics and compliance

Argus operates according to the best practices in the publishing field, and maintains thorough compliance procedures throughout the firm. We want to be seen as a preferred provider by our subscribers, who are held to equally high standards, while at the same time maintaining our editorial integrity and independence. Argus

has a strict ethics policy that applies to all staff. The policy can be found on our website at www.argusmedia.com. Included in this policy are restrictions against staff trading in any energy commodity or energy related stocks, and guidelines for accepting gifts. Argus also has strict policies regarding central archiving of email and instant messenger communication, maintenance and archiving of notes, and archiving of spreadsheets and deal lists used in the price assessment process. Argus publishes prices that report and reflect prevailing levels for open-market arms length transactions (please see the [Argus Global Compliance Policy](#) for a detailed definition of arms length).

Consistency in the assessment process

Argus recognises the need to have judgement consistently applied by reporters covering separate markets, and by reporters replacing existing reporters in the assessment process. In order to ensure this consistency, Argus has developed a programme of training and oversight of reporters. This programme includes:

- A global price reporting manual describing among other things the guidelines for the exercise of judgement
- Cross-training of staff between markets to ensure proper holiday and sick leave backup. Editors that float between markets to monitor staff application of best practices
- Experienced editors overseeing reporting teams are involved in daily mentoring and assisting in the application of judgement for illiquid markets
- Editors are required to sign-off on all price assessments each day, thus ensuring the consistent application of judgement.

Review of methodology

The overriding objective of any methodology is to produce price assessments which are reliable and representative indicators of commodity market values and are free from distortion. As a result, Argus editors and reporters are regularly examining our methodologies and are in regular dialogue with the industry in order to ensure that the methodologies are representative of the market being assessed. This process is integral with reporting on a given market. In addition to this ongoing review of methodology, Argus conducts reviews of all of its methodologies and methodology documents on at least an annual basis.

Argus market report editors and management will periodically and as merited initiate reviews of market coverage based on a qualitative analysis that includes measurements of liquidity, visibility of market data, consistency of market data, quality of market data and industry usage of the assessments. Report editors will review:

- Appropriateness of the methodology of existing assessments
- Termination of existing assessments
- Initiation of new assessments.

The report editor will initiate an informal process to examine viability. This process includes:

- Informal discussions with market participants
- Informal discussions with other stakeholders
- Internal review of market data

Should changes, terminations, or initiations be merited, the report editor will submit an internal proposal to management for review and approval. Should changes or terminations of existing assessments be approved, then formal procedures for external consultation are begun.

Changes to methodology

Formal proposals to change methodologies typically emerge out of the ongoing process of internal and external review of the methodologies. Formal procedures for external consultation regarding material changes to existing methodologies will be initiated with an announcement of the proposed change published in the relevant Argus report. This announcement will include:

- Details on the proposed change and the rationale
- Method for submitting comments with a deadline for submissions
- For prices used in derivatives, notice that all formal comments will be published after the given consultation period unless submitter requests confidentiality.

Argus will provide sufficient opportunity for stakeholders to analyse and comment on changes, but will not allow the time needed to follow these procedures to create a situation wherein unrepresentative or false prices are published, markets are disrupted, or market participants are put at unnecessary risk. Argus will engage with industry throughout this process in order to gain acceptance of proposed changes to methodology. Argus cannot however guarantee universal acceptance and will act for the good order of the market and ensure the continued integrity of its price assessments as an overriding objective.

Following the consultation period, Argus management will commence an internal review and decide on the methodology change. This will be followed by an announcement of the decision, which will be published in the relevant Argus report and include a date for implementation. For prices used in derivatives, publication of stakeholders' formal comments that are not subject to confidentiality and Argus' response to those comments will also take place.

Argus Pipe Logix OCTG

OCTG indexes are based on a survey of US distributors' and end-users' average monthly spot selling or buying prices. Indexes are published on the last Wednesday of each month, or the next working day if Wednesday is a holiday. A full publishing schedule is available at www.argusmedia.com.

Distributor and end-user survey

During the week before publication, Argus surveys US distributors and end-users for their average spot selling prices to end users or buying prices from distributors for each product that month.

The survey seeks information about the price of freely negotiated transactions of up to 3,000 short tons that are not subject to programs, contracts or other pre-arranged pricing conditions.

Those prices are used to create a monthly average for each product, and a series of indexes grouped by product type.

- Tubing (2.375-2.875in diameter) J55 ERW, L80 ERW, L80 SML
- Production casing (4.5-5.5in diameter) J55 ERW, P110HC ERW, P110HC SML
- Surfacing casing (7-13.375in diameter) J55 ERW, L80 ERW, L80 SML, P110HC ERW, P110HC SML, HCL80
- Average of all ERW – the average survey response for all ERW products that month
- Average of all SML – the average survey response for all SML products that month
- Pipe Logix All Items – the average of the Average of all ERW and average of all SML indexes

Assessments

Prices are published on a fob Houston basis for:

Assessments				
Diameter (in)	Weight (lb)	Grade	Tube	Joint
2.375	4.7	J55	ERW	8R EUE
2.375	4.7	L80	ERW	8R EUE
2.375	4.7	L80	SML	8R EUE
2.875	6.5	J55	ERW	8R EUE
2.875	6.5	L80	ERW	8R EUE
2.875	6.5	L80	SML	8R EUE
4.5	11.6	J55	ERW	LTC
4.5	13.5	P110HC	ERW	BTC
4.5	13.5	P110HC	SML	BTC
4.5	13.5	P110HC	SML	Semi-Prem
5.0	18.0	P110HC	SML	Semi-Prem
5.5	20.0	L80HC	ERW	BTC
5.5	20.0	P110HC	ERW	SPMB
5.5	20.0	P110HC	SML	SPMB
5.5	20.0	P110HC	SML	SPHTQ
5.5	23.0	P110HC	SML	Semi-Prem
5.5	23.0	P110HC	SML	Premium
7.0	23.0	J55	ERW	LTC
7.0	29.0	P110HC	ERW	BTC
7.0	29.0	P110HC	SML	BTC
7.0	29.0	P110HC	SML	Semi-Prem
7.0	32.0	P110HC	SML	BTC
7.625	29.7	P110HC	SML	BTC
7.625	29.7	P110HC	ERW	BTC
8.625	32.0	HCL80	ERW	BTC
9.625	36.0	J55	ERW	BTC
9.625	40.0	L80HC	ERW	BTC
9.625	40.0	L80HC	SML	BTC
9.625	40.0	P110HC	ERW	BTC
9.625	40.0	P110HC	SML	BTC
10.75	45.5	J55	ERW	BTC
13.375	54.5	J55	ERW	BTC

Definitions:

ERW: electric resistance welded

SML: seamless

SPMB: semi-premium modified buttress

Semi-premium modified or shouldered buttress threaded connection engineered for added torque capacity beyond API specifications. Buttress compatible and generally rated for operating torques less than 32,500ft-lbs on 5.5in 20#P110 casing.

SPHTQ: semi-premium high torque

Threaded connection engineered for high torque capacity beyond SPMB. Rated for operating torques of greater than 32,500ft-lbs on 5.5in 20#P110 casing.

Premium: a metal-to-metal, gas-tight connection engineered for high torque capacity and 100pc connection efficiency in harsh environments

API: connections that meet specifications of the American Petroleum Institute and are licensed by API.

Currency, units and rounding

Prices are published in \$/ft and \$/short ton. Rounding - \$/ft prices are rounded to two decimal places, \$/st prices are rounded to whole dollars

OCTG Market Distributor's Index

The OCTG Market Distributor's Index is a measure of market sentiment based on quantitative and qualitative responses to a monthly market survey. Seven questions are asked and their responses are weighted to create the final OCTG Market Distributor's Index.

Question (weighting)

- Tonnage of new orders booked this month compared to last month is up, the same or down (20pc)
- Time to obtain product and fulfill orders: lengthening, unchanged or shortening (20pc)
- Unsold inventory is shrinking, stable or growing (10pc)
- Unsold inventory is low, average or high (10pc)
- Based on orders currently being placed and bookings price, expected average sales prices (in \$/t) are expected to be up, the same or down (20pc)
- Based on orders currently being placed, the trend of import volumes in the next 3-5 months is expected to be down, the same or up (10pc)
- View of the overall supply/demand balance (domestic supply plus imports) in the next 1-3 months: sizeable shortage, shortage, about right, moderate oversupply or sizeable oversupply (10pc)

Related markets

Argus Pipe Logix OCTG includes monthly average prices for related commodities republished from other Argus services.

- Iron ore fines 62% Fe (ICX) cfr Qingdao
- Steel billet Tangshan ex-works inc VAT
- Steel HRC ex-works US Midwest domestic

See the [Argus Ferrous Markets methodology](#).

- Ferrous scrap #1 busheling composite del US

See the [Argus Scrap Markets methodology](#).

- Nymex WTI futures month 1
- Nymex natural gas futures month 1

Basin indexes

Argus calculates publishes indexes for the Permian, Eagle Ford and Bakken basins. The indexes are averages of Argus assessments weighted by the pipe grades used in each basin. Weightings are reviewed annually.

Basin index composition

Weighting (pc)	Assessment
Permian	
8	13.375in 54.5lb/ft J55 ERW BTC
18	9.625in 40lb/ft L80HC ERW BTC
18	9.625in 40lb/ft L80HC SML BTC
16.33	5.5in 20lb/ft P110HC ERW SPMB
16.33	5.5in 20lb/ft P110HC SML SPMB
16.33	5.5in 20lb/ft P110HC SML SPHTQ
3.5	2.875in 6.5lb/ft L80 ERW 8R EUE
3.5	2.875in 6.5lb/ft L80 SML 8R EUE
Eagle Ford	
23	9.625in 36lb/ft J55 ERW BTC
70	5.5in 23lb/ft P110HC SML Premium
3.5	2.375in 4.7lb/ft L80 ERW 8R EUE
3.5	2.875in 6.5lb/ft L80 ERW 8R EUE
Bakken	
3.5	9.625in 40lb/ft L80HC ERW BTC
3.5	9.625in 40lb/ft P110HC ERW BTC
3.5	9.625in 40lb/ft L80HC SML BTC
3.5	9.625in 40lb/ft P110HC SML BTC
26	7in 29lb/ft P110HC ERW BTC
26	7in 29lb/ft P110HC SML Semi-Prem
12	4.5in 13.5lb/ft P110HC ERW BTC
12	4.5in 13.5lb/ft P110HC SML Semi-Prem
5	2.875in 6.5lb/ft L80 ERW 8R EUE
5	2.875in 6.5lb/ft L80 SML 8R EUE